arXiv:1401.5458v1 [math.AG] 21 Jan 2014

SINGULARITY CONTENT

MOHAMMAD E. AKHTAR AND ALEXANDER M. KASPRZYK

Abstract. We show that a cyclic quotient surface singularity σ can be decomposed, in a precise sense, into a number of elementary T-singularities together with a cyclic quotient surface singularity called the residue of σ. A normal surface X with isolated cyclic quotient singularities $\{\sigma_i\}$ admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein partial smoothing to a surface with singularities given by the residues of the σ_i. We define the singularity content of a Fano lattice polygon P: this records the total number of elementary T-singularities and the residues of the corresponding toric Fano surface X_P. We express the degree of X_P in terms of the singularity content of P; give a formula for the Hilbert series of X_P in terms of singularity content; and show that singularity content is an invariant of P under mutation.

1. Introduction

Let C be a two-dimensional rational cone and let X_C denote the corresponding affine toric surface singularity. Let u, v be primitive lattice points on the rays of C. Let ℓ, the *local index* of C, denote the lattice height of the line segment uv above the origin and let w, the *width* of C, denote the lattice length of $v-u$. Write $w = n\ell+\rho$ for $n, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $0 \leq \rho < \ell$. Then X_C is a T-singularity [KSB88] if and only if $\rho = 0$, and we say that X_C is an *elementary T-singularity* if $n = 1$ and $\rho = 0$ (so $w = \ell$); these correspond to singularities of the form $\frac{1}{n\ell^2}(1, n\ell c-1)$ and $\frac{1}{\ell^2}(1, \ell c-1)$, respectively. Choose a decomposition of C into a cone R, of width ρ and local index ℓ, and n other cones, each of width and local index ℓ. Then, up to lattice isomorphism, R depends only on C and not on the decomposition chosen (Proposition 2.3) and we give explicit formula for R in terms of C. There is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation of X_C such that the general fibre is the affine toric surface singularity X_R (Proposition 2.7). We call X_R the residue of C, and write it as $\operatorname{res}(C)$. Given a normal surface X with isolated cyclic quotient singularities $\{X_{C_i} : i \in I\}$ there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation of X such that the general fibre is a surface with isolated singularities $\{\operatorname{res}(C_i) : i \in I\}$ (Corollary 2.8).

Let P be a Fano polygon and let X_P denote the corresponding toric Fano surface defined by the spanning fan Σ of P. For a cone C_i of Σ with width w_i and local index ℓ_i, write $w_i = n_i\ell_i+\rho_i$ with $0 \leq \rho_i < \ell_i$. The *singularity content* of P is the pair $(n, \mathcal{B})$ where $n = \sum_i n_i$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the cyclically-ordered list $\{\operatorname{res}(C_i)\}_i$ with empty residues omitted. We compute the degree of X_P in terms of the singularity content of P (Proposition 3.3) and express the Hilbert series of X_P, in the style of [Rei87], as the sum of a leading term controlling the order of growth followed by contributions from the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ (Corollary 3.5). The singularity content of P is invariant under mutation [ACGK12].

2. Singularity content of a cone

Let N be a lattice of rank two, and consider a (strictly convex) two-dimensional cone $C \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Let u and v be the primitive lattice vectors in N defined by the rays

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 14M25 (Primary); 14B05, 14J45 (Secondary).

of C. Define the *width* $w \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of C to be the lattice length of $v-u$, and the *local index* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of C to be the lattice height of the line segment uv above the origin.

Notation 2.1. Given C, u, and v as above, and a non-negative integer m such that $m \leq w/\ell$, we define a sequence of lattice points $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ on uv as follows:

(i) $v_0 = u$ and $v_{n+1} = v$;
(ii) $v_{i+1} - v_i$ is a non-negative scalar multiple of $v-u$, for $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$;
(iii) $v_{i+1} - v_i$ has lattice length ℓ for $i \in \{0,\ldots,\widehat{m},\ldots,n\}$;
(iv) $v_{m+1} - v_m$ has lattice length ρ, with $0 \leq \rho < \ell$.

The sequence $v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ is uniquely determined by m and the choice of u. Note that $w = n\ell + \rho$. We consider the partition of C into subcones $C_i := \operatorname{cone}\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 2.2 ([AK13, Proof of Proposition 3.9])**.** *If the cone $C \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has singularity type $\frac{1}{r}(a,b)$ then $w = \gcd\{r, a+b\}$ and $\ell = r/\gcd\{r, a+b\}$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $C \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a two-dimensional cone of singularity type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a-1)$. Let u, v be the primitive lattice vectors defined by the rays of C, ordered such that u, v, and $\frac{a-1}{r}u + \frac{1}{r}v$ generate N. Let $v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ be as in Notation 2.1. Then:*

(i) *The lattice points $v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ are primitive;*
(ii) *The subcones C_i, $0 \leq i < m$, are of singularity type $\frac{1}{\ell^2}(1, \frac{\ell a}{w} - 1)$;*
(iii) *If $\rho \neq 0$ then the subcone C_m is of singularity type $\frac{1}{\rho\ell}(1, \frac{\rho a}{w} - 1)$;*
(iv) *The subcones C_i, $m < i \leq n$, are of singularity type $\frac{1}{\ell^2}(1, \frac{\ell \bar{a}}{w} - 1)$.*

Here $\bar{a}$ is an integer satisfying $(a-1)(\bar{a}-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$, and so exchanging the roles of u and v exchanges a and $\bar{a}$. Note that the singularity type of C_m depends only on C.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $u = (0,1)$, that $v = (r, 1-a)$, and that $m \neq 0$. The primitive vector in the direction $v - u$ is $(\alpha, \beta) := (\ell, -a/w)$. Thus $v_1 = (\alpha^2, 1+\alpha\beta)$, and so v_1 is primitive. There exists a change of basis sending v_1 to $(0,1)$ and leaving (α, β) unchanged. This change of basis sends v_i to v_{i-1} for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. It follows that the lattice points v_i, $1 \leq i \leq m$, are primitive, and that the cones C_i, $1 \leq i \leq m$, are isomorphic. Since

$$\tfrac{1}{\alpha^2}(\alpha^2, 1+\alpha\beta) - \tfrac{1+\alpha\beta}{\alpha^2}(0,1) = (1,0),$$

we have that C_1 has singularity type $\frac{1}{\alpha^2}(1, -1-\alpha\beta) = \frac{1}{\ell^2}(1, \frac{\ell a}{w} - 1)$. Since $r = w\ell$ (Lemma 2.2) we have that $\frac{\ell(a+kr)}{w} - 1 \equiv \frac{\ell a}{w} - 1 \pmod{\ell^2}$ for any integer k, so that the singularity only depends on the equivalence class of a modulo r. This proves (ii). Switching the roles of u and v proves (i) and (iv).

It remains to prove (iii). As before, we may assume that $u = (0,1)$ and $v = (r, 1-a)$. Consider the change of basis described above. After applying this m times, the cone C_{m+1} has primitive generators $(0,1)$ and $(\rho\alpha, 1+\rho\beta)$. Since

$$\tfrac{1}{\rho\alpha}(\rho\alpha, 1+\rho\beta) - \tfrac{1+\rho\beta}{\rho\alpha}(0,1) = (1,0),$$

we see that C_{m+1} has singularity type $\frac{1}{\rho\alpha}(1, -1-\rho\beta) = \frac{1}{\rho\ell}(1, \frac{\rho a}{w} - 1)$. Since $r/w = \ell$ (again by Lemma 2.2) we see that $\frac{\rho(a+kr)}{w} - 1 \equiv \frac{\rho a}{w} - 1 \pmod{\rho\ell}$ for any integer k, hence the singularity only depends on a modulo r.

Next, we need to show that (iii) is well-defined: that is, that the quotient singularities $\frac{1}{\rho\ell}(1, \frac{\rho a}{w} - 1)$ and $\frac{1}{\rho\ell}(1, \frac{\rho \bar{a}}{w} - 1)$ are equivalent. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left(\frac{\rho a}{w} - 1\right)\left(\frac{\rho \bar{a}}{w} - 1\right) \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \rho\ell)\,.$$

Let $k, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $0 \leq c < \rho\ell$ be such that

$$\left(\frac{\rho a}{w} - 1\right)\left(\frac{\rho \bar{a}}{w} - 1\right) = k\rho\ell + c. \tag{2.1}$$

From Lemma 2.2 we see that $0 \leq c < r$, and (2.1) becomes

$$\left(a - 1 - \frac{nra}{d}\right)\left(\bar{a} - 1 - \frac{nr\bar{a}}{d}\right) = kr - \frac{knr^2}{d} + c, \qquad \text{where } d := \gcd\{r, a\} \cdot \gcd\{r, \bar{a}\}.$$

Multiplying through by d and reducing modulo r we obtain $d(a-1)(\bar{a}-1) \equiv dc \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)$. Suppose that $d \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)$. Since $(a-1)(\bar{a}-1) \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)$, we conclude that $c = 1$.

Finally, suppose that $d \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)$. Writing $a = a' \cdot \gcd\{r, a\}$ and $\bar{a} = \bar{a}' \cdot \gcd\{r, \bar{a}\}$, we obtain

$$1 \equiv (a' \cdot \gcd\{r, a\} - 1)(\bar{a}' \cdot \gcd\{r, \bar{a}\} - 1) \equiv 1 - a - \bar{a} \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)\,,$$

and hence $a \equiv -\bar{a} \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)$. But this implies that $1 \equiv (a-1)(-a-1) \equiv 1 - a^2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ r)$ and so $a \mid r$. Hence $w = r$, $\ell = 1$, and the singularity in (iii) is equivalent to $\frac{1}{\rho}(1, \rho - 1)$. □

Notice that the quantities a/w and $\bar{a}/w$ appearing in Proposition 2.3 are integers by Lemma 2.2.

Definition 2.4. Let $C \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a cone of singularity type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a-1)$. Let ℓ and w be as above, and write $w = n\ell + \rho$ with $0 \leq \rho < \ell$. The *residue* of C is given by

$$\mathrm{res}(C) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho\ell}\big(1, \frac{\rho a}{w} - 1\big) & \text{if } \rho \neq 0, \\ \varnothing & \text{if } \rho = 0. \end{cases}$$

The *singularity content* of C is the pair $\mathrm{SC}(C) := (n, \mathrm{res}(C))$.

Example 2.5. Let C be a cone corresponding to the singularity $\frac{1}{60}(1, 23)$. Then $w = 12$, $\ell = 5$, and $\rho = 2$. Setting $m = 1$ we obtain a decomposition of C into three subcones: C_0 of singularity type $\frac{1}{25}(1, 9)$, C_1 of singularity type $\frac{1}{10}(1, 3)$, and C_2 of singularity type $\frac{1}{25}(1, 4)$. In particular, $\mathrm{res}(C) = \frac{1}{10}(1, 3)$.

Recall that a T-singularity is a quotient surface singularity which admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein one-parameter smoothing; T-singularities correspond to cyclic quotient singularities of the form $\frac{1}{nd^2}(1, ndc - 1)$, where $\gcd\{d, c\} = 1$ [KSB88, Proposition 3.10]. We now show that T-singularities are precisely the cyclic quotient singularities with empty residue.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $C \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a cone and let w, ℓ be as above. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{res}(C) = \varnothing$;
(ii) *There exists an integer n such that $w = n\ell$;*
(iii) *There exists a crepant subdivision of C into n cones of singularity type $\frac{1}{\ell^2}(1, \ell c - 1)$, $\gcd\{\ell, c\} = 1$;*
(iv) *C corresponds to a T-singularity of type $\frac{1}{n\ell^2}(1, n\ell c - 1)$, $\gcd\{\ell, c\} = 1$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are equivalent by definition. (iii) follows from (ii) by Proposition 2.3, and (i) follows from (iv) by Lemma 2.2. Assume (iii) and let the singularity type of C be $\frac{1}{R}(1, A-1)$. The width of C is n times the width of a given subcone. Since $\gcd\{\ell, c\} = 1$, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\gcd\{R, A\} = w = n \cdot \gcd\{\ell^2, \ell c\} = n\ell.$$

The local index of a given subcone coincides, by construction, with the local index of C. By Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$R = \ell \cdot \gcd\{R, A\} = n\ell^2.$$

Finally, Proposition 2.3 gives that $\ell A/w = \ell c$, hence $A = n\ell c$, and so (iii) implies (iv). □

2.1. **Residue and deformation.** Define the *residue* of a cyclic quotient singularity σ to be the residue of C, where C is any cone of singularity type σ. The residue encodes information about $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations of σ.

Proposition 2.7. *A cyclic quotient singularity σ admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing if and only if* $\operatorname{res}(\sigma) = \varnothing$. *Otherwise there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation of σ such that the general fibre is a cyclic quotient singularity of type* $\operatorname{res}(\sigma)$.

Proof. By definition, σ admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing if and only if it is a T-singularity. Thus the first statement follows from Corollary 2.6. Assume σ is not a T-singularity and let ω, ℓ, and ρ be as above. By Corollary 2.6 we must have $\rho > 0$. Now $\sigma = \frac{1}{r}(1, a-1)$ has index ℓ and canonical cover

$$\tfrac{1}{\omega}(1, -1) = (xy - z^\omega) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}.$$

Taking the quotient by the cyclic group μ_ℓ, and noting that $\omega \equiv \rho \pmod{\ell}$, we have:

$$\tfrac{1}{r}(1, a-1) = (xy - z^\omega) \subset \tfrac{1}{\ell}(1, \tfrac{\rho a}{\omega} - 1, \tfrac{a}{w}).$$

A $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation is given by

$$(xy - z^\omega + tz^\rho) \subset \tfrac{1}{\ell}\left(1, \tfrac{\rho a}{\omega} - 1, \tfrac{a}{\omega}\right) \times \mathbb{A}^1_t,$$

and the general fibre of this family is the cyclic quotient singularity $\frac{1}{\rho\ell}(1, \frac{\rho a}{\omega} - 1)$. □

By combining Proposition 2.7 above with the proof of Proposition 3.4 and the Remark immediately following it from [Tzi09], which tells us that there are no local-to-global obstructions, we obtain:

Corollary 2.8. *Let H be a normal surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with isolated cyclic quotient singularities. There exists a global $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of H to a surface H^{res} with isolated singularities such that* $\operatorname{Sing}(H^{\mathrm{res}}) = \{\operatorname{res}(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{Sing}(H),\ \operatorname{res}(\sigma) \neq \varnothing\}$.

3. Singularity content of a complete toric surface

Definition 3.1. Let Σ be a complete fan in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with two-dimensional cones $C_1, \ldots, C_m$, numbered cyclically, with $\operatorname{SC}(C_i) = (n_i, \operatorname{res}(C_i))$. The *singularity content* of the corresponding toric surface X_Σ is

$$\operatorname{SC}(X_\Sigma) := (n, \mathcal{B}),$$

where $n := \sum_{i=0}^m n_i$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the cyclically ordered list $\{\operatorname{res}(C_1), \ldots, \operatorname{res}(C_m)\}$, with the empty residues $\operatorname{res}(C_i) = \varnothing$ omitted. We call $\mathcal{B}$ the *residual basket* of X_Σ.

Notation 3.2. We recall some standard facts about toric surfaces; see for instance [Ful93]. Let X be a toric surface with singularity $\frac{1}{r}(1, a-1)$. Let $[b_1, \ldots, b_k]$ denote the Hirzebuch–Jung continued fraction expansion of $r/(a-1)$, having length $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, define $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ as follows: Set $\alpha_1 = \beta_k = 1$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i/\alpha_{i-1} &:= [b_{i-1}, \ldots, b_1], \quad 2 \leq i \leq k, \\ \beta_i/\beta_{i+1} &:= [b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_k], \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a minimal resolution then

$$K_{\widetilde{X}} = \pi^* K_X + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i E_i,$$

where $E_i^2 = -b_i$ and $d_i = -1 + (\alpha_i + \beta_i)/r$ is the discrepancy.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a complete toric surface with singularity content $(n, \mathcal{B})$. Then*

$$K_X^2 = 12 - n - \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} A(\sigma), \qquad \text{where } A(\sigma) := k_\sigma + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k_\sigma} d_i^2 b_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_\sigma - 1} d_i d_{i+1}.$$

Proof. Let Σ be the fan in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of X. If $C \in \Sigma$ is a two-dimensional cone whose rays are generated by the primitive lattice vectors u and v then, possibly by adding an extra ray through a primitive lattice vector on the line segment uv, we can partition C as $C = S \cup R_C$, where S is a (possibly smooth) T-singularity or $S = \varnothing$, and $R_C = \operatorname{res}(C)$. Repeating this construction for all two-dimensional cones of Σ gives a new fan $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $\widetilde{X}$ is the toric variety corresponding to $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ then the natural morphism $\widetilde{X} \to X$ is crepant. In particular $K_{\widetilde{X}}^2 = K_X^2$. Notice that $\operatorname{SC}(X) = (n, \mathcal{B}) = \operatorname{SC}(\widetilde{X})$.

By resolving singularities on all the nonempty cones R_C, we obtain a morphism $Y \to \widetilde{X}$ where the toric surface Y (whose fan we denote Σ_Y) has only T-singularities. Thus by Noether's formula [HP10, Proposition 2.6]

$$K_Y^2 + \rho_Y + \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sing}(Y)} \mu_\sigma = 10, \tag{3.1}$$

where ρ_Y is the Picard rank of Y, and μ_σ denotes the Milnor number of σ. But $\rho_Y + 2$ is equal to the number of two-dimensional cones in Σ_Y, and the Milnor number of a T-singularity $\frac{1}{ndc^2}(1, ndc-1)$ equals $n-1$, hence

$$\rho_Y + \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sing}(Y)} \mu_\sigma = -2 + n + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} (k_\sigma + 1), \tag{3.2}$$

where k_σ denotes the length of the Hirzebuch–Jung continued fraction expansion $[b_1, \ldots, b_{k_\sigma}]$ of $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$. With notation as in Notation 3.2,

$$K_Y^2 = K_X^2 + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k_\sigma} d_i^2 b_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_\sigma - 1} d_i d_{i+1} \right). \tag{3.3}$$

Substituting (3.2) and (3.3) into (3.1) gives the desired formula. □

Remark 3.4. If X has only T-singularities, or equivalently if $\mathcal{B} = \varnothing$, then Proposition 3.3 gives $K_X^2 = 12 - n$.

The m-th Dedekind sum, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, of the cyclic quotient singularity $\frac{1}{r}(a,b)$ is

$$\delta_m := \frac{1}{r} \sum \frac{\varepsilon^m}{(1-\varepsilon^a)(1-\varepsilon^b)},$$

where the summation is taken over those $\varepsilon \in \mu_r$ satisfying $\varepsilon^a \neq 1$ and $\varepsilon^b \neq 1$. By Proposition 3.3 and [Rei87, §8] we obtain an expression for the Hilbert series of X in terms of its singularity content:

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a complete toric surface with singularity content $(n, \mathcal{B})$. Then the Hilbert series of X admits a decomposition*

$$\mathrm{Hilb}(X, -K_X) = \frac{1 + (K_X^2 - 2)t + t^2}{(1-t)^3} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} Q_\sigma(t), \quad \text{where } Q_{\frac{1}{r}(a,b)} := \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (\delta_{(a+b)i} - \delta_0) t^i}{1 - t^r}.$$

3.1. **Singularity content and mutation.** A lattice polygon in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is called *Fano* if $\mathbf{0}$ lies its strict interior, and all its vertices are primitive; see [KN12] for an overview. The *singularity content* of a Fano polygon P is $\mathrm{SC}(P) := \mathrm{SC}(X_\Sigma)$, where Σ is the spanning fan of P; that is, Σ is the complete fan in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with cones spanned by the faces of P.

Under certain conditions, one can construct a Fano polygon $Q := \mathrm{mut}_h(P, F) \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ called a *(combinatorial) mutation* of P. Here $h \in M := \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ is a primitive vector in the dual lattice, and $F \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a point or line segment satisfying $h(F) = 0$. For the details of this construction see [ACGK12].

Proposition 3.6. *Let $Q := \mathrm{mut}_h(P, F)$. Then $\mathrm{SC}(P) = \mathrm{SC}(Q)$. In particular, singularity content is an invariant of Fano polygons under mutation.*

Proof. The dual polygon $P^\vee \subset M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an intersection of cones

$$P^\vee = \bigcap \left(C_L^\vee - v_L \right),$$

where the intersection ranges over all facets L of P. Here $C_L \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the cone over the facet L and v_L is the vertex of $P^\vee$ corresponding to L.

If F is a point then $P \cong Q$ and we are done. Let F be a line segment and let $P_{\max}$ and $P_{\min}$ (resp. $Q_{\max}$ and $Q_{\min}$) denote the faces of P (resp. Q) at maximum and minimum height with respect to h. By assumption the mutation Q exists, hence $P_{\min}$ must be a facet, and so there exists a corresponding vertex $v_0 \in M$ of $P^\vee$. $P_{\max}$ can be either facet or a vertex. The argument is similar in either case, so we will assume that $P_{\max}$ is a facet with corresponding vertex $v_1 \in M$ of $P^\vee$.

The inner normal fan of F, denoted Σ, defines a decomposition of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into half-spaces Σ^+ and Σ^-. The vertices v_0 and v_1 of $P^\vee$ lie on the rays of Σ; any other vertex lies in exactly one of Σ^+ or Σ^-. Mutation acts as an automorphism in both half-spaces. Thus the contribution to $\mathrm{SC}(Q)$ from cones over all facets excluding $Q_{\max}$ and $Q_{\min}$ is equal to the contribution to $\mathrm{SC}(P)$ from cones over all facets excluding $P_{\max}$ and $P_{\min}$. Finally, mutation acts by exchanging T-singular subcones between the facets $P_{\max}$ and $P_{\min}$, leaving the residue unchanged. Hence the contribution to $\mathrm{SC}(Q)$ from $Q_{\max}$ and $Q_{\min}$ is equal to the contribution to $\mathrm{SC}(P)$ from $P_{\max}$ and $P_{\min}$. □

Example 3.7. If two Fano polygons are related by a sequence of mutations then the corresponding toric surfaces have the same anti-canonical degree [ACGK12, Proposition 4]. The Fano polygons $P_1 := \mathrm{conv}\{(0,1), (5,4), (-7,-8)\}$ and $P_2 := \mathrm{conv}\{(0,1), (3,1), (-112,-79)\}$

correspond to $\mathbb{P}(5,7,12)$ and $\mathbb{P}(3,112,125)$, respectively. These both have degree $48/35$, however their singularity contents differ:

$$\mathrm{SC}(P_1) = \left(12, \left\{\tfrac{1}{5}(1,1), \tfrac{1}{7}(1,1)\right\}\right), \qquad \mathrm{SC}(P_2) = \left(5, \left\{\tfrac{1}{14}(1,9), \tfrac{1}{125}(1,79)\right\}\right).$$

Hence they are not related by a sequence of mutations.

Lemma 3.8. *Let P be a Fano polygon with $\mathrm{SC}(P) = (n, \mathcal{B})$, and let ρ_X denote the Picard rank of the corresponding toric surface. Then $\rho_X \leq n + |\mathcal{B}| - 2$.*

Proof. The cone over any facet of P admits a subdivision (in the sense of Notation 2.1) into at least one subcone. Therefore we must have that $|\mathrm{vert}(P)| \leq n + |\mathcal{B}|$. Recalling that $\rho_X = |\mathrm{vert}(P)| - 2$ we obtain the result. □

Since singularity content is preserved under mutation, Lemma 3.8 gives an upper bound on the rank of the resulting toric varieties.

Example 3.9. In [AK13] we classified one-step mutations of (fake) weighted projective planes. It is natural to ask how much of the graph of mutations of a given (fake) weighted projective plane is captured by the graph of one-step mutations. Lemma 3.8 shows that the two graphs coincide if the singularity content of the (fake) weighted projective plane in question satisfies $n + |\mathcal{B}| = 3$. For example the full mutation graph of $\mathbb{P}^2$ is isomorphic to the graph of solutions of the Markov equation $3xyz = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ [AK13, Example 3.14]. More interestingly, the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(3,5,11)$ does not admit *any* mutations [AK13, Example 3.5].

Acknowledgements. We thank Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, and Diletta Martinelli for many useful conversations. This research is supported by EPSRC grant EP/I008128/1.

References

[ACGK12] Mohammad Akhtar, Tom Coates, Sergey Galkin, and Alexander M. Kasprzyk, *Minkowski polynomials and mutations*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **8** (2012), Paper 094, 17.

[AK13] Mohammad Akhtar and Alexander M. Kasprzyk, *Mutations of fake weighted projective planes*, `arXiv:1302.1152 [math.AG]`, 2013.

[Ful93] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.

[HP10] Paul Hacking and Yuri Prokhorov, *Smoothable del Pezzo surfaces with quotient singularities*, Compos. Math. **146** (2010), no. 1, 169–192.

[KN12] Alexander M. Kasprzyk and Benjamin Nill, *Fano polytopes*, Strings, Gauge Fields, and the Geometry Behind – the Legacy of Maximilian Kreuzer (Anton Rebhan, Ludmil Katzarkov, Johanna Knapp, Radoslav Rashkov, and Emanuel Scheidegger, eds.), World Scientific, 2012, pp. 349–364.

[KSB88] J. Kollár and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 2, 299–338.

[Rei87] Miles Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 345–414.

[Tzi09] Nikolaos Tziolas, $\mathbb{Q}$-*Gorenstein deformations of nonnormal surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 1, 171–193.

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address: `mohammad.akhtar03@imperial.ac.uk`
E-mail address: `a.m.kasprzyk@imperial.ac.uk`